Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

	2015-202	<u> 0 Tex</u>	as Ti	tle I Pri	ority Scl	hools, Cy	cle 4			
Program authority:	P.L. 107-11 Section 100		, as am	ended by t	ne NCLB Ad	t of 2001,	107		A USE O	
Grant period:	January 1, 2 allocations. to Decembe	Pre-awa	ard cost			federal ctober 1, 201	5,			
Application deadline:	5:00 p.m. C	entral T	ime, Au	gust 20, 20	15			**	late stamp he	re.
Submittal information:	Six complet signature (b aforemention	lue ink j	preferre	d), must be	received no	original o later than th	е		2015 AUG	Texas Ed
	Docume	nt Contr	Texas 1701 N	er, Division Education orth Congr 1, TX 7870	Agency ess Ave	dministration.		Scament Control Center	20 PH I:	Received Education Agency
Contact information:	Leticia Gove (512) 463-1		ia.govea	a@tea.texa	s.gov;			3 2	8E :	ency
		<u>Sch</u>	edule#	1—Genera	al Informati	on	•			
Part 1: Applicant Inform	nation	***************************************				, , , , , ,				NAME OF THE PARTY
Organization name		***	County-	-District#	Campus n	ame/#	ΙA	mendme	ent#	
Austin ISD			227901		Dobie Mid	dle School				
Vendor ID #	ESC R	egion#		US Cong	ressional Di	strict#	DUN	S#		
1746000064	13			10			0769	33746		
Mailing address		City				State	ZIP Co	ode		
1111 W 6 th St			Austin,				TX	78703		
Primary Contact										
First name		M.I.	Last r	name		Т	itle			
Vancy		Phillips Director			Director (tor of State & Federal				
Telephone #					AX#	X #				
5124143280	nancy.phillips@austinisd.org		5	124141	791					
Secondary Contact										
		M.I.	Last r	name		T	itle			
First name	7		F	roject D	irector					
		Email address FAX #								
First name Mary Telephone # 5124143997		4		austinisd.			AX#			

I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

Authorized Official:

First name Nancy M.I. Last name

Title

Telephone #

Phillips

Director of State & Federal

5124143280

Email address

FAX#

Signature (blue ink preferred)

Nancy.phillips@austinisd.org

Date signed

5124143280

701-15-107-081

Only the legally responsible party may sign this application.

8-20-15

10

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS			
Schedule #1—General Information (cont.)				
County-district number or vendor ID: 227901	Amendment # (for amendments only):			
Part 3: Schedules Required for New or Amended Applicati	ions			

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application.

For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

Schedule	Schedule Name		Application Type		
#	Scriedule Nanie	New	Amended		
1	General Information				
2	Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances		N/A		
4	Request for Amendment	N/A			
5	Program Executive Summary				
6	Program Budget Summary	\boxtimes			
7	Payroll Costs (6100) – SEE NOTE	See			
8	Professional and Contracted Services (6200) – SEE NOTE	Important			
9	Supplies and Materials (6300) - SEE NOTE	Note for			
10	Other Operating Costs (6400) – SEE NOTE	Competitive			
11	Capital Outlay (6600/15XX) – SEE NOTE	Grants*			
12	Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds				
13	Needs Assessment				
14	Management Plan				
15	Project Evaluation	\boxtimes			
16	Responses to Statutory Requirements				
17	Responses to TEA Requirements	\boxtimes			
18	Equitable Access and Participation	\boxtimes			

*IMPORTANT NOTE FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS: Schedules #7, #8, #9, #10 and #11 are required schedules if any dollar amount is entered for the corresponding class/object code on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary. For example, if any dollar amount is budgeted for class/object code 6100 on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary, then Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) is required and if it is either blank or missing from the application, the application will be disqualified.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SA
Schedule #2-	-Required Attachme	ents and Provisions and Assurances
County-district number or vendor ID:	227901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 1: Required Attachments		
The following table lists the fiscal-rela application (attached to the back of ea		ated documents that are required to be submitted with the endix).
	B. 2	

#	Applicant Type	Name of Required Fiscal-Related Attachment
No fis	scal-related attachments are req	uired for this grant.
#	Name of Required Program-Related Attachment	Description of Required Program-Related Attachment
No pr	ogram-related attachments are	required for this grant.
Part 2	2: Acceptance and Complianc	е

By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies

his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances.

Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and require a separate certification.

X	Acceptance and Compliance
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines.
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidelines for this grant.
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements.
\boxtimes	I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements.
\boxtimes	I certify that this organization does not spend federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Lobbying Certification requirements.
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Provisions and Assurances requirements.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

でっとっこ	Educati	on Agency
トセスロコ		UII MUEIICV

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #2—Required	Attachments and	Provisions and	Assurances
COLICULATION ICCOUNTS	ALLUOIIII CIILO UIIQ	1 10 11310113 6116	, 733uiaii003

County-dis	trict num	ber or v	endor ID	: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances

\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.
#	Provision/Assurance
THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS ADDRE	The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy.
2.	The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public.
3.	The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.
4.	The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.
5.	 The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements: Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality. Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.
6.	The LEA provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.
7.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements: 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that— i. Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and ii. Uses the definition of student growth as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA. A State may also include other measures that are rigorous and comparable

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

8.

across classrooms.

- iii. Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement:
- (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
- (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
- (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
- 2. Deliver comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 3. Increase learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - ii. Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - iii. Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Texas state-design model</u>, the campus will deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS). In doing so, the LEA/campus will implement the following:

- Pursue designation as a Texas Early College High School, with a target of earning TEA ECHS designation and full-operation as an ECHS, no later than the start of the second year of the TTIPS grant implementation period; Fall 2017.
- 2. Create an innovative high school that enables students to graduate with a high school diploma and an associate degree; or high school diploma and 60 college credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree.
- Provide college credit earned through the high school years for all students at no cost; including tuition, fees and textbook costs.

Fo	A Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

- 4. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness through use of the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System and Texas Principal Evaluation and Support System.
- 5. While implementing for all students, the program specifically identifies students for more intensive supports. These students include those at-risk for dropping out of school, as defined in Texas state-defined criteria in TEC §29.081; and students historically underrepresented in college courses. In developing and providing the more intensive supports, , the LEA/campus will have:
 - (A) Data to identify the population at risk of dropping out of school;
 - (B) Quantitative and qualitative data to identify students least likely to attend college/those historically underrepresented in college courses;
 - (C) Early College brochures in all languages relevant to the school community;
 - (D) Written communication plan for relevant target audiences: parents, community members, school board.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 1.

- 6. By the start of TTIPS full-implementation (Fall 2016), the LEA/campus will have key partnerships in place that will enable success as an Early College High School. These partnerships are marked by signed Memoranda of Understanding with current signature each year of implementation. Key partnerships include:
 - (A) Partnership between the school district and an institute of higher education (IHE) that:
 - Defines the partnership between the LEA/campus and the IHE and addresses topics including, but not limited to: the ECHS location, the allocation of costs for tuition, fees, textbooks, and student transportation;
 - Defines an active partnership between the school district(s) and the IHE(s), which shall include joint decision-making procedures that allow for the planning and implementation of a coherent program across institutions; and
 - iii. Includes provisions and processes for collecting, sharing, and reviewing program and student data to assess the progress of the ECHS.
 - (B) Contract/partner with the Texas Early College High School Technical Assistance provider for access to training, coaching, and technical assistance through to earning designation. Once designated, will continue work with the technical assistance provider as is required as a condition of TEA designation.
 - (C) Contract/partner with a Texas Early College High School demonstration site. Demonstration sites are identified by TEA each year from 2015-2016 forward. Terms of the contract/partnership shall be designed for demonstration site/ model program for the TTIPS LEA/campus by TEA in early 2015-2016

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 2.

- 7. By the start of TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year (January 1, 2016), the LEA and key partners must have developed and be maintaining a leadership team focused on P-16 Leadership Initiatives that meets regularly to address issues of the ECHS design and sustainability. At minimum, the membership shall include the campus principal and individuals with decision-making authority from both the LEA and IHE. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 3.
- 8. Provide a curriculum that offers a rigorous and accelerated course of study, in both college-credit bearing courses and preparatory/college readiness courses. Additionally, the program must provide students with the academic, emotional and social supports necessary to be successful in the rigorous course of study. The curriculum and supports must meet the following:
 - (A) Beginning in TTIPS first year of full-implementation (Fall 2016), have curriculum in place that allows all students to graduate high school with at least six semester credit hours toward a baccalaureate

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

degree

- (B) By TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), have curriculum in place that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum (as defined by TAC §4.28); or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credits toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- (C) Possess a written course of study plan showing how students will progress as an ECHS graduate. The plan must provide pathway to a baccalaureate degree and follow the courses and fields of study listed in the THECB Lower Division Academic Course Guide Manual.
- (D) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide academic supports to the students in the form of: extended learning time sessions for tutoring, advisory and/or college readiness support time built into the program of study, and a college-readiness mentorship program.
- (E) Beginning in the TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year, the campus will provide social and emotional supports to the students, including: connections to social services, parent outreach and involvement opportunities.
- (F) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide college awareness and access services to students and families, including: college application assistance, financial aid counseling, college and career counseling.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 4.

By the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus shall provide for the administration of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college placement exam to students in order to assess college readiness, design individual instruction plans, and enable students to begin college courses based on their performance. Fees associated with assessment administrations must be waived/covered for all students. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 5.

- 9. By the start of the TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), the campus will provide a full-day program at an autonomous high school; operating with:
 - (A) An IHE liaison with decision-making authority who interacts directly and frequently with the campus staff and administrators;
 - (B) A highly qualified teaching staff possessing appropriate level of certification, training and ongoing supports to teach college-bearing courses to high school students.
 - (C) Clear opportunities for students to have regular use (at least six times per school year) of college academic facilities, regardless of early college school site.
 - (D) Opportunities for high school faculty and staff to receive regular training and support; in collaboration with the IHE faculty and staff.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 6.

The Texas concept for an Early College High School is fully described in the following resources:

- Texas Education Agency, Early College High School program
- Texas Education Code §29.908
- Texas Administrative Code §4.161
- 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 102 Educational Programs Subchapter GG: <u>Commissioner's Rules</u> Concerning Early College Education Program

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will submit an Early College High School Readiness Assessment as a supplement to the TTIPS required Implementation Readiness Portfolio. Assessment forms will be provided by the TEA TTIPS program office.

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will apply for Texas Early College High School designation, no later than applications are available for schools that wish to be designated for the 2017-2018 school year.

9. The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Early Learning Intervention Model in an

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

done so.

8. Implement strategies such as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain high quality

For	TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency

educators.

- 9. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is:
 - (A) Research-based;
 - (B) Developmentally appropriate,
 - (C) Vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards:
 - (D) Promotes academic content across a range of development: math and science, literacy and language, socio-emotional skills, self-regulation, and executive functions.
- 10. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 11. Provide staff with ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development such as coaching and mentoring that is:
 - (A) Aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program
 - (B) Designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to implement school reform strategies.
- 12. Operate in compliance with all regulations in the Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines (PKG).
- 13. Utilize Texas State Board of Education approved pre-kindergarten instructional materials.
- 14. Enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), <u>CLI Engage</u> platform, and utilize the <u>Texas School</u> <u>Ready!</u> child progress monitoring assessments with pre-kindergarten students.

If selecting the Early Learning Intervention model and receiving these grant funds to support the implementation, the full-day kindergarten and full-day pre-kindergarten programs must be offered free of charge to all enrolled students.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Replace the principal and grant the new principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to fully implement a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
- 2. Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - (B) Select new staff

10.

- Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
- Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
- Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

For	TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

11.

12.

- 6. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next, as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- 7. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students:
- 8. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - (A) Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - (B) Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - (C) Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
- 9. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

If selecting the turnaround model, the applicant agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the **Whole-School Reform model**, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.
 - (A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing wholeschool reform models in one or more low-achieving school.
- 2. The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard; published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:
 - (A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.
 - (B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on a student academic achievement or attainment outcome.
 - (C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.
- Evidence supporting the efficacy of the whole-school model selected is based on an implementation
 with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The
 whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
- 4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
- 5. The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:
 - (A) School leadership
 - (B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area
 - (C) Non-academic supports for students
 - (D) Family and community engagement
- The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

**************************************	EA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title | Priority Schools, Cycle 4 Texas Education Agency

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

Standard Application System (SAS)

Texas	Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS				
	with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.				
	4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.				
	The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:				
	(A) School leadership				
	(B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area(C) Non-academic supports for students				
	(D) Family and community engagement				
	The applicant provides assurance that student families and the campus community were engaged in planning for				
16.	the grant application, and the campus/district took action to solicit input from these stakeholders. This input was taken into consideration when selecting the model to implement. If awarded, the applicant commits to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.				
	The applicant provides assurance that if selected for award, the applicant will fully engage in grant negotiations				
17.	managed by TEA. These negotiations may include additional clarifications and modifications to activities, budget and performance targets proposed, if it is determined by TEA that federal requirements will not be met though the				
	proposed program.				
	The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for				
	supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and				
18.	authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of				
	the approved grant. The applicant also provides assurance that TEA will be notified immediately of any changes to				
	this contact.				
	The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant				
19.	orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, and other periodic meetings of grantees, the Advancing				
	Improvements in Education Conference, and sharing of best practices. The applicant provides assurance that it will continue to fully engage in all required elements of Texas				
	Accountability Interventions System (TAIS) framework; regardless of model selected for implementation.				
	All TTIPS grant awarded schools are required to submit an annual improvement plan and quarterly progress				
20.	reports documenting school's continuous processes around data analysis, needs assessment, planning,				
20.	implementation and monitoring; as delineated in the TAIS framework.				
	If awarded under this grant opportunity, the applicant also provides assurance that it will engage in necessary				
	effort to align and complement existing school improvement strategies, goals and interventions in their final approved TTIPS grant, in order to effectively deliver a single and comprehensive school improvement plan.				
	The applicant provides assurance that at the close of the pre-implementation period, it will prepare and submit an				
	Implementation Readiness Portfolio to the TEA TTIPS program office. Specific requirements for the portfolio are				
	included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA.				
21.	The applicant understands that support specialists in the TEA TTIPS program office will conduct a comprehensive				
	review and assessment of the Implementation Readiness Portfolio and qualitative data obtained through onsite				
	observations and staff interviews. The applicant assures it will engage with the TEA program office to provide				
	clarifications and adjustments to the portfolio, based on the review and assessment recommendations. The applicant provides assurances that it will participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching				
22.	support provided by TEA and/or its subcontractors.				
23.	The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the				
	grant intervention models.				
24.	The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA and its contractors.				
25.	The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. A list of required data elements is included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA.				
	A not of regulate data coments is meaded in the Fregram Odidelines for this IV. A.				

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education A

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #4—Request for Amendment

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Submitting an Amendment

This schedule is used to amend a grant application that has been approved by TEA and issued a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA). **Do not submit this schedule with the original grant application.** Refer to the instructions to this schedule for information on what schedules must be submitted with an amendment.

An amendment may be submitted by mail *or* by fax. Do not submit the same amendment by both methods. Amendments submitted via email will not be accepted.

If the amendment is mailed, submit three copies of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to the following address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494.

If the amendment is faxed, submit one copy of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to either of the following fax numbers: (512) 463-9811 or (512) 463-7915.

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is listed on the <u>TEA Grant Opportunities</u> page. An amendment is effective on the day TEA receives it in substantially approvable form. All amendments are subject to review and approval by TEA.

Part 2: When an Amendment Is Required

For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, prior written approval is required to make certain changes to the application. Refer to the "When to Amend" guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page to determine when an amendment is required for this grant. Use that guidance to complete Part 3 and Part 4 of this schedule.

Par	Part 3: Revised Budget					
C		alendrik mushu unimirun unun unun unun unun unun unun unun	A	В	C	D
#	Schedule #	Class/ Object Code	Grand Total from Previously Approved Budget	Amount Deleted	Amount Added	New Grand Total
1.	Schedule #7: Payroll	6100	\$	S	\$	\$
2.	Schedule #8: Contracted Services	6200	\$	\$	\$	\$
3.	Schedule #9: Supplies and Materials	6300	\$	\$	\$	\$
4.	Schedule #10: Other Operating Costs	6400	\$	\$	\$	\$
5.	Schedule #11: Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX	\$	\$	\$	\$
6,	Total direct costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$
7.	Indirect cost (%):		\$	\$	\$	\$
8.	Total costs:		S	\$	\$	\$

-			Revised Annual	l Budget Breakdov	vn	
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	5-Year Total Budget Request
	\$	<u> </u>	\$	\$	\$	\$

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

y-district number or ver	Schedule #4—Request for Ame	
y-district number or ver	ID. 007004	
		Amendment # (for amendments only):
: Amendment Justific	ation	
# of Schedule Being Amended	Description of Change	Reason for Change
	Being Amended	Being Amended Description of Change

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver.

Please focus the response on the qualities that enable this specific campus and district team to achieve foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking: accelerated achievement, system transformation, and sustained reform.

Summarize the district commitments to achieve foundational elements through the district's:

- Vision and focus for school reform
- · Sense of urgent need for change
- · High expectations for results
- Operational flexibilities that will be afforded the campus in a reform effort

Summarize the district and campus capacity and ability to benefit from this grant in terms of:

- Organizational structures
- Existing capacity and resources
- Communication structures

Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Austin Independent School District's (AISD) Dobie Middle School is a Title I campus identified as "Improvement Require" on the Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR). To address ongoing academic challenges at Dobie, AISD plans to implement the Transformation Model of school improvement. This model both addresses all TEA program requirements and aligns with AISD's vision to reinvent the urban school experience. The District believes all students will graduate college, career, and life ready. The District and our leadership are committed to achieving excellence by delivering a high quality education to every student through the transformative use of technology, ensuring all students perform at or above grade level, preparing students to graduate on time or in a timely fashion and developing civically engaged students. The District will create vibrant relationships critical for successful students and schools through authentically engaging students, parents and guardians, teachers, and the community. AISD will build ownership within AISD among internal and external stakeholders and develop and maintain partnerships to support students, parents, teachers, schools and the Austin communities we serve. AISD values the whole child and every child, adopting the Whole Child, Every Child focus for school reform, advancing academic achievement, as well as physical, social and emotional health and safety, equity, diversity, and inclusion of all students. AISD has high expectations for all students, employee, parents and guardians and community members. AISD's vision and focus facilitates creativity, collaboration and innovation for community schools. Additional strategies include: 1). Human capital - recruiting top talent, rewarding teachers for performance, and holding teachers individually accountable for increasing student achievement; 2). More time on task - increasing the amount of time students are on task by implementing and extended day and year program; 3). High expectations and a "no excuses" culture - creating an environment in which students and teachers buy into the school's mission and the importance of education; and 4). Data-driven instruction - using frequent, appropriate assessments to determine students' strengths and weaknesses, and to reteach skills students have not yet mastered. AISD will leverage existing capacity and districtwide resources to ensure the success of the Transformation Model at Dobie Middle School, to include districtwide investments in Social and Emotional Learning, promoting a positive school climate and productive learning environment.

The decision to implement the Transformation Model was the outcome of a Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) and planning process conducted by a broad range of stakeholders of stakeholders (AISD and Dobie administrators, school staff, parents, and community members). Stakeholders support a team of professionals at Dobie lead by the campus Principal (*organization & communication structures*). For the TTIPS Transformation initiative, the Principal will have additional *operational flexibilities* facilitating school turnaround. The team analyzes a range of data sets in data-driven decision making: school, student, and family demographics; disaggregated student performance (reading, math, writing science); attendance; discipline; promotion, retention, and dropout rates; teacher and administrator performance; family engagement measures; community partnerships and partner-provided services. From this, the group identified the following needs and gaps at Dobie Middle School: below-target performance for all students in reading/ELA, math, science, and social studies; poor identification of and inadequate interventions for struggling students; less than ideal school climate and an overreliance on alternative educational placements for males of color; low daily attendance rates and problems with chronic absenteeism for some students; insufficient protocols and systems to address data analysis and intervention; a need for more comprehensive and inclusive community and parent engagement programs; and the need for a stronger *sense of urgency* with regard to monitoring instruction and response to intervention.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
Schedule #5—Program Execut	ive Summary (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 227901 Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Referelements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided,	
During the pre-implementation process of the grant, January identified needs and gaps, and articulate a set of goals for D continuous professional development for teachers, flexibility which will result in improved academic performance in readir The design will also focus on increasing the use of quality defectiveness; increasing parent/community involvement; implements. The planning process will allow the development of operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and be approach to substantially improve student achievement outcand ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technolistrict Support Team.	obie Middle School, including: improved and in providing extended day and year services ng, writing, math, science, and social studies. ata to drive instruction; increasing leadership proving school climate; and increasing teacher strategies which give the school sufficient budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive omes and increase high school graduation rates;

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901	er or ven	dor ID: 2279	101	***************************************	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		Amen	dment # (for	Amendment # (for amendments only):	nts only);			
Program authority: P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g)	.L. 107-1	10 ESEA, a	s amended	by the NCL	B Act of 200	01, Section	1003(g)		***************************************		THE STREET STREET, STR	AND STREET, ST	ALEXANDER CONTRACTOR AND MALES CALLS CONTRACTOR CONTRAC
Grant period: January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal allocations. Preaward costs are permitted from October 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015.	y 1, 2016 nitted fron	, to July 31, n October 1	2020, pend , 2015, to D	ding future for	ederal alloc 1, 2015.	ations. Pre-		Fund code: 276	The state of the s	Management of the Park of the	And the second s	MANAGONA WATER BALLANDA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	
Budget Summary	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	NO CONTRACTOR DE LA CON	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF				AMBARA MARANA	Harrison of the state of the st	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON	The same of the sa		And the standard principles an
Schedule #/Title	Class/ Object Code	Year 1 Program Cost	Year 1 Admin Cost	Amount of Year 1 as Pre-award	Year 2 Program Cost	Year 2 Admin Cost	Year 3 Program Cost	Year 3 Admin Cost	Year 4 Program Cost	Year 4 Admin Cost	Year 5 Program Cost	Year 5 Admin Cost	Total Budgeted Cost across all Years
#7-Payroll Costs	6100	\$227585	11500	s,	805000	23000	826850	23000	845400	23000	561775	23000	3,371,110
#8-Professional and Contracted Services	6200	v	G	s,	200000	67	200000	65	125000	\$	75000	S	000'009
#9-Supplies and Materials	6300	s	G	s	125000	us	125000	es.	75000	\$	50000	· ·	375,000
#10-Other Operating Costs	6400	10000	မာ	es.	15000	s	15000		15000	\$	10000	6	
#11-Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX	ઝ	s	s	50000	cs.	20000	45	50000	es	20000	8	170,000
Consolidate Administrative Funds	inistrative I	spun_	☐ Yes ☐ No	No	TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TT	The state of the s			***************************************	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSO		The state of the s	**************************************
Percentage% indirect costs (see note):	irect costs (see note):	N/A	\$11500	N/A	N/A	36750	N/A	37843	N/A	32570	N/A	12839	\$120,001
Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column):	eted costs column):	237,585	11,500		1195000	\$59750	1216850	60843	1111400	55570	716775	35839	4,701,111
					TOTAL COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF TH	Administra	Administrative Cost Calculation	culation	Attitude de la companya de la compan	And the few surrangements and the few surran	The second secon	DZ ZALICIA MONAJĄ WORKĘ	THE TAXABLE OF THE PROPERTY OF

NOTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the \$4,701,111 \$235,056 x .05 Multiply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result. This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect costs: Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (5%).

Enter the total grant amount requested:

Indirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs.

grant application. If indirect costs are claimed, they are part of the total grant award amount. They are not in addition to the grant award amount.

- No more than \$2,000,000 per year may be requested.
- Year 1 is designed to be a planning/pre-implementation period, lasting from January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2016. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the shorter time period and type of activity.
 - Years 2, 3, and 4; operating in school years 2016-2017, 2017-2018, and 2018-2019, are designed to be full implementation years.
- Year 5; operating in school year 2019-2020, is designed to be a supported sustainability year. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the type of activity.

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
17 MTH V DE	DEA 4704 45 407, 500 4404 45

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

				Sc	Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100)	Payroll Cos	ts (6100)	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH	AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P	The second of th
Ö	unty-distr	County-district number or vendor ID: 227901	ndor ID: 227901		MATHER OF A CANADA STREET WAS A STREET OF THE STREET OF TH	AND AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		Am	Amendment # (for amendments only):	or amendme	nts only):
u u	mployee	Employee Position Title	Estimated # of Positions 100% Grant Funded	Estimated # of Positions <100% Grant Funded	Year 1 Amount Budgeted	Amount of Year 1 to be used as Pre- Award	Year 2 Amount Budgeted	Year 3 Amount Budgeted	Year 4 Amount Budgeted	Year 5 Amount Budgeted	Total Budgeted Costs across all Years
Aca	demic/ln:	Academic/Instructional		The state of the s	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY						
-	Teacher	1	3		\$12000	69	165000	165000	165000	55000	562000
7	Educati	Educational aide			8	69	₩	69	es	6	9
ന	Tutor				G	49	49	8	₆	49	S
<u> </u>	gram Maı	Program Management and Administration	ministration			The state of the s	The second secon			The state of the s	The second statement of the formula of the second statement of the second stat
4	TTIPS Director)irector		1	2000	\$	10000	10000	10000	10000	45.000
വ	Evaluation	ion		-	5000	မာ	10000	10000	10000	10000	45,000
ဖ ်					69	\$	€\$	\$	6	\$	6
Aux	Auxiliary					PRINCIPLE OF THE PRINCI	The second secon				
	Parent Support Specialist	Support st			49	4	40000	40000	40000	20000	\$140,000
8	PAN PERSONAL PROPERTY AND PROPE				69	49	8	\$	(A)	ક્ક	\$
6	-	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE			(€	₩	49	69	5	49
<u> </u>	er Emplo	Other Employee Positions					The second secon	THE STATE OF THE S			THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
10	Campus coaches	Campus Content coaches	3		22500	49	165000	165000	165000	82500	600,000
=	Campus	Campus Coordinator	*		35000	49	00009	60000	00009	30000	245,000
12	THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS O	THE PARTY OF THE P	And the second s		\$	₩	ક	·	\$	\$	8
13			Subtotal	Subtotal employee costs:	\$79,500	↔	450,000	450,000	450,000	207,500	1,637,000
Sut	stitute, E	Substitute, Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs	enefits Costs	The second secon	Processor Adams and Programme	To the second se	The second secon				
14	6112	Substitute pay			\$	9	\$12,000	12,000	10,000	5.000	39.000
15	6119	Professional staff	Professional staff extra-duty pay/strategic comp	ategic comp	126,000	\$	231,000	248,500	266,000	266.000	1.137.500
9	6121	Support staff extr.	Support staff extra-duty pay/strategic comp	ic comp	\$2,400	()	27,000	28,500	30,000	30,000	117,900
	6140	Employee benefits	(S	The state of the s	31,185	6	108,000	110,850	113,400	76,275	439,710
B	61XX	Tuition remission (IHEs only)	(IHEs anly)		æ	&	G	ક	44	€\$	\$
19		Subtotal su	Subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs	y, benefits costs	\$159,585	G	378,000	399,419	419,400	377,275	1,734,110
20	ច	Grand total (Subtofal employee costs plus subtotal subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs):	Subtofal employee costs plus subto substitute, extra-duty, benefits cost	s plus subtotal penefits costs):	239,085	45	828,000	849,850	869,400	584,775	3,371,110

	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date;
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
RFA #701-1	RFA #701-15-107: SAS #191-16

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 18 of 68

For guidance on when to submit an amendment for changes to salary amounts in line items and a list of unallowable costs, see the guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance and Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance sections of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

Schedule #8—Professional and Contracted Services (6200)
County-district number or vendor ID: 227901
NOTE: Specifying an individual vendor in a grant application does not meet the applicable requirements for sole-source providers. TEA's approval of such grant applications does not
constitute approval of a sole-source provider.
Professional and Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval

County-uishich number of vertage ID; 22/901	And the second of the second s					Amendment # (for amendments only):	amendmen	ts only):
NO I E: Specifying an individual vendor in a grant application does not meet the applicable requirements for sole-source providers. constitute approval of a sole-source provider.	the applicable	e requirement	s for sole-so	ource provide	эгs. TEA's ар	proval of su	ch grant app	TEA's approval of such grant applications does not
Professional and Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval		**************************************				A STATE OF THE STA	AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	
	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND	The state of the s	Year 1				MARKATIAN MARKATIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	Total Budgatad
Expense nem Description		Year 1	Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	across all Years
Rental or lease of buildings, space in buildings, or land		•	•		4			
	THE RESERVE AND THE RESERVE AN	A	A	A	A	<i>;</i>	А	4 3
Contracted publication and printing costs (specific approval required only for 6299 nonprofits)	only for	*	¥	¥	U	3	G	***************************************
	ence de la descenta de la facto de la fact	.	.	>	>	→	→	÷
 Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs requiring approval: 	specific	49	ss.	ક	s	s	w	க
Professional Services, Contracted Services, or Subgrants			- The state of the			7	WAR AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	The same of the sa
# Description of Service and Purpose	Check If Subgrant	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted across all Years
		v	ь	8	8	5	G	\$
2		67	()	₩	47	49	69	•
		(A)	&	69	64	49	69	TANTONIA PARAMETER PROPERTY PR
# ************************************		Ŀ	69	S	(A	₩.	49	**************************************
5		€	₩.	₩	ક	()	ક	49
9		சு	ঞ	சு	es S	U)	ક	s)
		မှ	4	₩.	69	G	49	
**************************************		s	()	ፉን	(A)	ዏ	₩.	S
		69	မာ	æ	(S)	6 9	t/s	49
0		G	€9	69	↔	ь	€	()
b. Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:		\$	\$	\$	s	45	\$	•
a. Subtotal of professional and contracted services requiring specific	c approval:	69	₩	\$	(S)	s	\$	es.
b. Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:	ls:	69	69	69	49	69	49	\$
c. Remaining 6200—Professional services, contracted services, or subgrants that do not require specific approval:	ıbgrants	63	ø	\$200,000	200,000	125,000	75,000	000'009
(Sum of lines a, b, and c)	Grand total	\$	\$	\$200,000	200,000	125,000	75,000	000'009

For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date;
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
A POLIT VIO	DTA 1170A AT ACT. CAS 110A ACT.

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015--2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 19 of 68

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

			-11	Schedule #8	le #9—Supplies and Materials (6300)	and Mate	rials (6300	ī			**************************************	
County.	-Dist	trict Number c	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901	***************************************	**************************************			Am	endment r	of) number (fo	Amendment number (for amendments only):	ents only):
				Ē	Expense Item Description	Descriptic	Ju.		THE RESIDENCE AND ASSOCIATED WAS ASSOCIATED THE SECOND			THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
1			Technology Hardware—Not Capitalized	pitalized							THE STREET STREET, STR	TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY
and the second s		Type	Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6399	_				\$							
	2				49	-general section of the section of t				1003		
	က				\$	ь	↔	<i>6</i> 7	ь	υ	69	()
	4	Newson (1997)		The state of the s	&		***************************************					
	5				\$	Estatores		WITCH CHARGE CO.			neeskeliikinkin	
6388	Te	chnology softv	Technology software—Not capitalized	ANY MAN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	The state of the s	***************************************	49	\$	\$	49	6	\$
6388	Sup	pplies and ma	Supplies and materials associated with advisory council	council or cc	or committee	\$	8	49	€	8	69	\$
V private library and the second	-	ns	Subtotal supplies and materials requiring specific approval:	luiring specif	ic approval:	(A	€	49	()	ь	67	\$
	THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE	Remaining 6	Remaining 6300—Supplies and materials that do not require specific approval:	at do not req	uire specific approval:	U)	€9	125000	125000	75000	20000	375,000
	***************************************	**************************************		ט	Grand total:	\$	49	125000	125000	75000	20000	375,000

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
RFA #701-1 2015–2020 Texas Ti	RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 20 of 68

	Schedule #10—01	#10—Other Operating Costs (6400)	g Costs (64	60		AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE P	A CHARLES OF THE PARTY OF THE P	TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
County	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901	***************************************	***************************************	1	Amendment number (for amendments only):	number (for a	mendments	only):
	Expense Item Description	Year 1	Year 1 Pre-	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Vear	Total Budgeted
			Award			·	9	Across all Years
6412	Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations.	t t	t t	Ð	ŧ	6	4	THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND T
!	Specify purpose:	>	3	÷	-	p	P	A
6413	Slipends for non-employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations)	e	£	4	6	4	A TOTAL DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY A
•	Specify purpose:	→	}	3 -	a	7	Ð	<i>#</i> >
6419	Travel for non-employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations	£	U	6	6	6	•	
)	Specify purpose:	3	5 -	9 -	-	Ð	A	A>
6411/	Travel costs for executive directors (6411); superintendents (6411); or board members (6419); Includes registration fees	e	Ð	6	6	4	ŧ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6419	Specify purpose:	3	÷	9	P	?	A	# }
6429	Actual losses that could have been covered by permissible insurance	4	ક	6 3>	မာ	s	€>	\$
6490	Indemnification compensation for loss or damage	\$	69	es.	\$	G	\$	55
6490	Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses	59	8	\$	\$	s	8	•
6499	Membership dues in civic or community organizations (not allowable for university applicants)	¥	U	U	4	+	4	ATTENNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF
	Specify name and purpose of organization:		→	3	.	9	9-	∌
6499	Publication and printing costs—if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations)	£	.	e	6	6	6	PORTIONAL PROPERTY CONTRACTOR AND
***************************************	Specify purpose:	}	3 -	\$	7	A	A	А
турпа фазаварання в полити	Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval:	\$	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	€ 5	G	vs	\$	45
	Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval:	\$10,000	49	\$15,000	15,000	15,000	10,000	65,000
	Grand total:	10,000	9	15,000	15,000	15,000	10,000	65,000

In-state travel for employees does not require specific approval. Field trips consistent with grant program guidelines do not require specific approval. For more information about field trips as well as a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the Budgeting Costs Guidance Handbook, in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

By TEA staff person: On this date: For TEA Use Only Changes on this page have been confirmed with: Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

	Schedule #11—Capital Outlay (6600/15XX)	-Capital	Outlay (6	600/15XX)	PRAEMI PROFESSION DE PROFESSIO	Ambada and a shaka shaka and a shaka a	AND	The state of the s	
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901						Amendme	ant number	(for amend	Amendment number (for amendments only):
15XX is only for use by		chools sp	charter schools sponsored by	by a nonpr	a nonprofit organization	ization.		NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	***************************************
# Description/Purpose	Quantity	Unit	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all
6669/15XX—Library Books and Media (capitalized and control	ntrolled by library)	brary)		The second secon	į				C 1001
	N/A	N/A	8	es.	\$50000	50000	20000	20000	170,000
66XX/15XX—Technology hardware, capitalized	AA I III III AAAAAA III WAXAAAAAAAAAAAAA						AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	The same of the sa	ANNUAL MANAGEMENT AND ANNUAL MANAGEMENT AND ANNUAL AND ANNUAL MANAGEMENT AND
		æ	€	es	မှာ	↔	69	6	49
3		↔	ક્ર	₩	G	சு	63	G	S
		ઝ	69	ச	ь	சு	•	6	8
9	The state of the s	બ્ર	63	ዏ	ь	ь	₩	49	8
9		s	ச	49	မှ	€>	क	63	\$
ho		சு	49	49	မာ	8	မာ	8	\$
8		မာ	4	69	69	မ	69	မ	G
66XX/15XX—Technology software, capitalized	THE TRANSPORT OF THE THE TRANSPORT OF TH	Arreston to the second	***************************************						
6		4	49	69	s	சு	S	S	9
10		क	63	\$	8	69	69	6	G
		ક્ર	ક્ક	s	s	•	49	6	8
12		↔	ક્ક	&	es	(A)	6	es	9
13		€>	v)	ь	8	es	69	မာ	9
66XX/15XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehicles	Average and Averag	A COLUMN TO THE				**************************************		- Transmission of the second o	The second secon
14		s	\$	ક્ક	ક્ક	ક	S	s	8
15		မ	G	es	ક	8	€>	9	•
16		ω	69	ঞ	₩	4	6	49	8
		ь	€9	G	63	(S)	ь	G	8
80		ક્ક	ક્ર	क	சு	49	G	ь	\$
19	The state of the s	ь	சு	\$	မာ	49	69	49	9
20			6 3	&	B	es	€>	4	8
66XX/15XX—Capital expenditures for improvements to land, buildings,	nd, buildings	ō	equipment that	t materially	increase	their value	or useful	life	A THE RESIDENCE AND A STREET OF THE PERSON O
7.1	THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	Translate the second se	ь	æ	49	es.	69	မာ	\$
	Gra	Grand total:	6 3	4 3	\$50000	50000	20000	20000	170,000
and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page	nistration Adn	oinistaring	a Grant n	DZD	Athretisens interpretation	***************************************			VANCONA A VERBUILD METAL A MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics- Data. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Enrollment	696		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American	67	10%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic	579	84%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White	24	4%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian	20	3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Economically disadvantaged	648	94%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Limited English proficient (LEP)	266	39%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Special Education	121	18%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Disciplinary referrals	800		Campus records
Disciplinary placements in In-School Suspension	333		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in Out-of-School Suspension	434		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in DAEP	53		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary referrals for Truancy	0		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Attendance rate		93.7%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12)		6%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual graduation rate (Gr 9-12)		na%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, mathematics (standard accountability indicator)	42	95%	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, reading / ELA (standard accountability indicator)	561	60%	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent students Tested		Na%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent At/Above Criteria		NA %	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage)	NA		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage)	NA		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Graduates from Class of 2013 enrolled in a Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)		NA	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Т	eyas	Edu	ration	Agency	
		نا فا سا	Laur	AUCHUV	

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Student Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about students that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data, related to students seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Applicants must include supporting evidence to explain trends. For example, projected enrollment growth would need to be supported with a report of percent gains in enrollment over the past several years. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Data indicates a large gap between District scores and ALL Dobie Middle School Students, as well as between the campus averages and performance of the seven federally identified student subgroups: African American, Hispanic, and Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and ELL students struggle.

TAPR		District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	Sped	Eco Dis	ELL
Grade	All Grades						***************************************	
Reading	2014	78%	63%	54%	64%	68%	63%	43%
	2013	80%	52%	54%	52%	37%	53%	30%
Mathematics	2014	79%	69%	55%	70%	77%	69%	58%
	2013	81%	58%	48%	59%	51%	58%	49%
Science	2014	81%	46%	64%	44%	36%	46%	29%
	2013	82%	54%	41%	53%	48%	54%	37%
Social Studies	2014	75%	35%	55%	33%	35%	36%	20%
	2013	75%	39%	53%	36%	39%	38%	22%

The campus also has a high mobility rate that also impacts student achievement.

Mobility Rate	
2011-2012	24.9%
2012-2013	23.6%
2013-2014	23.7%

District level and campus records indicate the largest number of students at Dobie who are suspended and/or participate in alternative disciplinary placement educational programs being young male students of color.

For TEA Use Only					
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:				
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:				

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Staff Demographics- Data

Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report
2013-2014 Teyas Academic Performance Report
(TAPR), Campus Performance
, 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
% 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
PEIM Submission 1
% PEIM Submission 1
% PEIM Submission 1
PEIM Submission 1

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

	Covac	Educ	ation	Agency	
ì	CAGO		auvii	AUCHUV	

Standard Application System (SAS)

U			
IIIGUU	-hartii		
iic m	10 #		
1.6	4.7		
Dellin	Dama		
yıapıı	ハアコハカ		
ICS GII	ice on		
uraiu	d Dami		
CIPAIIG	cinante	The state of the s	
o lu ut	: to 20		
OCI Y CU	Samod		
with Gr	with Car		
allt I	ant F		
นแนอกู	· unde (
CULLED	PORT		

County-district number or vendor ID: 229701

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Staff Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about campus staff that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program. Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data related to campus staff seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The data from the AISD Teacher Attrition from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 shows that 22% of teachers either retired or transfers at the campus during this time frame. Preliminary data is reflecting the same rate of attrition for the coming year. This movement of teachers each year limits Dobie Middle School in its ability to retain trained and highly qualified staff. This attribute alone, places Dobie Middle School in a constant stage of training and recruiting teachers to work consistently with the students and the current Middle School program

District data indicate that the majority of staff do not reflex the student population they serve. Surveys, meeting, and observations indicate that staff struggle with the implementation of rigor and the scaffolding necessary to achieve rigor in the classroom. Data also indicates that staff has limited skill in working with at-risk students, especially young men of color.

For TEA	Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

County	/-distric	t numbe	r or vend	dor ID:					Amen	iment#	(for ame	ndment	s only):	
									of studen Jse Arial					der the
PK (3-4)	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Tota
							237	218	241					696
									of teache Jse Arial 8					der the
(5-7)							19	18	20			+	+	57

FOLTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 229701

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Continuous improvement is a systematic approach in school reform, including processes for data analysis, problem identification, root cause analysis, goal setting, intervention design, implementation, monitoring, and evidenced-based progress reporting.

Part 1: Process Description. Describe the process and activities in which you engaged to conduct a data analysis and needs assessment; and select the model, goals, and interventions to be implemented under this grant. In the description, include the team members involved in the planning process, frequency and timeline of planning meetings, and key activities/strategies used to facilitate decision making.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

AISD and Dobie Middle School leveraged the processes and activities in the campus's current data analysis and planning process to select the Transformation model, goals, and interventions proposed in this application. Every school year, the Principal with the assistance of the Campus Advisory Committee (CAC) must develop, review, and revise the Campus Improvement Plan (CIP). The Purpose of this Plan is to improve student performance of the state's student achievement indicators for all student populations, as well as improve performance on any other performance measures for special needs populations. The CIP supports the objectives of the AISD *Strategic Plan*. The CIP assesses the academic achievement of all students; sets the campus performance objectives; identifies the activities and strategies that will be employed to meet goals; sets timelines for reaching student achievement and other campus goals; establishes a plan for measuring progress toward accomplishing goals; provides for a program to encourage parental involvement; and includes goals and methods for violence prevention and intervention on campus.

During the development of the CIP, monthly meetings of campus administration and the Campus Advisory Committee (CAC) are convened. CACs are committees of parents, students, when appropriate, business, and community representatives, teachers, principals, and other campus staff. Membership of CACs is determined at the campus level. Detailed information on CAC membership is included in the CAC bylaws, publically available on the AISD web page. The formation of CACs is required by state law (Texas Education Code §11.251. Specific functions of CACs include providing review and comment on campus education programs, campus performance, campus improvement planning, the campus staff development plan, budgeting, and any campus-level waiver requests to the Texas Education Agency or other State of Texas entity. The mission of CACs is to promote excellence in education for all students through broad-based representation. CACs provide valuable input to principals, who ultimately have decision-making responsibility for their campuses.

AISD's Campus & District Accountability publishes an annual schedule for CIPs, available at: http://www.austinisd.org/cda/campus-improvement-plans/resources. Campus & District Accountability identifies required teams of AISD staff that must review CIPs for programmatic and fiscal compliance. For example, for the 2015-2016 CIP process, administrators and CACs review student data between September 1st and July 29th, meeting monthly to review different data sets, analyze data, and assess the needs of the school. Principals and other campus academic leadership attend workshops and trainings in July and August. Campus administrators, District staff, and the CAC review and integrate applicable State accountability ratings into the CIPs in late September and October. The Principal submits the first draft of the CIP electronically to district-level review teams at the end of October. The revision process for CIPs continues throughout November, which includes ongoing communication and an iterative revision process for AISD and campus-level staff. CIPs are finalized and uploaded to the AISD web site before Winter Break.

Campus administrators and the Dobie Middle School CAC were tasked with reviewing the data gathered for the continuous improvement of the campus as a whole and making recommendations as to the needs of the campus for the coming year. Data reviewed for the needs assessment: performance on state assessments; attendance; TELPAS results; discipline; Primary Reading Assessment results; parent/teacher Surveys; accountability ratings (including safeguards missed); Teaching, Empowering, Leading and Learning (TELL) results; review of TAPR data; student climate survey; Special Education indicator reports (C-IEP); STAAR Data; staff/faculty/parent/student survey results. The Associate Superintendent for Middle School and District staff (State & Federal Accountability, Campus & District Accountability, etc.) were also available during monthly vertical team planning meetings for Dobie Middle School (which is a feeder middle school to the Reagan and Lanier HS vertical teams) to ensure that all activities are aligned not only horizontally from elementary through high school but also vertically across grade levels, so students entering middle school have a strong and consistent academic foundation. During the Planning Process in the Spring of 2016, 14-15 data and data gathered during the summer and fall 2015 activities and beginning of school assessments will be reviewed by the stakeholders (CAC/District Staff/Key stakeholders, etc.) to make recommendations and adjustments to the Transformational Model goals and interventions related to student and teacher performance.

For TEA Use Only					
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:				
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:				

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS
Schedule #13—Nee	ds Assessment (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID:	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 2: Model Selection and Best-Fit. Indicate the interve implementation. Response is limited to space provided, from	ntion model selected by the district/campus for nt side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
□ Transformation	
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification	
☐ Texas State-Design Model	
☐ Early Learning Intervention Model	
☐ Turnaround	
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification	
☐ Whole-School Reform	
☐ Restart	
Closure Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected in school. Response is limited to space provided, front side or	
all students will graduate college, career, and life read	t need to occur for the 15-16 school year. It allows for the development of a more rigorous, transparent and teachers and staff. This model addresses all TEA or reinvent the urban school experience. AISD believes by. The district commits itself to achieving excellence by tough the transformative use of technology, ensuring all
	LA, math, science, and social studies; poor gling students; less than ideal school climate and an males of color; low daily attendance rates and; insufficient protocols and systems to address data sive and inclusive community and parent engagement cy with regard to monitoring instruction and response to e campus to quickly move toward the delivery of onal flexibility and sustained support; rigorous, se learning time and creating community-oriented
	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Model Selection-Stakeholder Input. Please describe how student families and community members were engaged in the needs assessment and planning process:

- Describe specific actions the campus/district took to solicit input from these stakeholders in selecting the model.
- Describe how this input was taken into consideration when selecting the model.
- Describe plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

During the development of the campus improvement plan, the campus included numerous stakeholders. The Campus Advisory Committee (CAC) includes parents, professional staff, business representatives, and community representatives. The CAC was tasked with reviewing the data and making recommendations as to the needs of the campus. Dobie Middle School plans to use the Pre-implementation stage, January-July, as a strategy to expand the opportunities to have meaningfully and detailed discussions with the CAC, families, and the community regarding the implementation of the Transformational Model.

District staff was also available during vertical team planning meetings for Dobie Middle School (which is a feeder middle school within the Travis HS vertical team) to ensure that all activities are aligned not only horizontally from elementary through high school but also vertically across grade levels, so students entering Dobie MS have a strong and consistent academic foundation when entering high school.

The committees will make additional recommendation during the life of the grant as to the needs of the campus and changes/amendments to the Transformational Model grant are adjusted.

Reviews of the implementation and progress of the Transformational Model over the period of the grant will monitor strategies and data and quarterly meeting with the team of participants and the Campus Advisory Committee will be held. Also reports/newsletters will be disseminated to the parents and community as to the review and recommendation by the committees.

ForTEA	Úse Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #14---Management Plan

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Staff Role and Qualifications. List campus and district personnel projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Include all positions funded in whole or part by grant resources, along with those personnel involved in the implementation, but not funded through the grant. Provide a brief description of the position role/function in the grant; and desired qualifications, type and years of experience, and requested certifications. Ensure that the list and descriptions demonstrate the district will provide effective oversight and support for implementation of the selected model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications
1.	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) (required)	Assist Campus in the implementation of the grant and to provide a fluid change agent between the superintendent and campus to make adjustments and decisions related to the campus and the implementation of the grant	Master's Degree in Education, 5 years successful classroom experience; Additional 3 years' experience working with large federal programs.
2.	Principal	Leads the campus in the implementation of the model and works closely with the CAC and Committee members and district support staff to ensure the success of the project	Master's Degree in Education, 5 years successful classroom experience; Additional 3 years of administrative experience
3.	Director of State and Fed Accountability	Manage Federal program funding as it related to UG regulations and district Finance processes and procedures	Master's Degree in Education or related fields, 5 years' experience working with large federal grants
4.	TTIPS Campus Coordinator	Supports Principal in implementing the TTIPS grant and all requirements related to training and data management	Bachelor's Degree in Education, a minimum of 3 years successful classroom experience
5.	Parent Support Specialist	Assist campus with parental involvement activities and to increase parental participation in their child's learning	Associates Degree, Bilingual preferred
6.	Classroom teachers	Assist struggling students in core content areas	Bachelor's Degree in Education, a minimum of 3 years successful classroom experience
7.	Campus Coaches	Mentor, model teach, and support core content teachers with rigor in the classroom	Bachelor's Degree in Education, a minimum of 3 years successful classroom experience
8.	Program Evaluation	Responsible for providing data to campus/district team in the implementation of program and evaluation of strategies and changes needed	Bachelor's Degree in appropriate field
9.			

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: External Provider Role and Qualifications. List all external provider contractors/consultants, selected by the district/campus, that are projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Provide a brief description of the provider's unique function in the grant; and desired qualifications, experience, and requested certifications. Do not include contractors/consultants provided by the TTIPS SEA office (PSP, TCDSS or TEA staff). Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications History of successful management of SES
1.	SES Provider	Provides supplemental after school support for identified students	History of successful management of SES services
2.			
3.	,		
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Commitment and Succession. Describe how the campus and district will ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success. Describe your succession management strategies and how this will enable the campus and district to deliver continuous high-quality programming when there are changes in key project personnel. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Austin Independent School District has the capacity and infrastructure to ensure that all grant funds are used, both districtwide and at the campus level, to implement fully and effectively the proposed transformation model activities. AISD has successfully managed multiple grant-funded projects of similar size and scope in the past. The District's administrative structure includes the Chief Officer of Teaching Learning's Office, which provides leadership, direction, and supervision for the operational and academic management of schools, and educational support services; the Office of Educator Quality, which brings together three departments – Professional Development, Leadership Development, and Strategic Compensation – and supports recruitment, development, retention, and placement of effective and high quality teachers and administrators throughout the District; and the Department of Program Evaluation, which evaluates federal, state, and locally- funded programs, works with program staff to design and carry out program evaluations, and responds to district information needs.

Further ensuring effective implementation of program components, AISD has convened a cross-functional TTIPS team, comprising representatives from the Chief Teaching and Learning Office, Director of the Office of State and Federal Accountability, the Finance Office, the Department of Human Resources, the Department of Research and Evaluation, the Office of Innovation and Development, and Contracts and Procurement Department. The Project Director for Texas Title I Priority Schools grants, serves as the District Coordinator of School Improvement. The Project Director will work with the cross-functional TTIPS team, which meets at regularly scheduled intervals to review program progress and/or concerns. Dr. Thomas meets with the Chief of Teaching and Learning and AISD's Superintendent quarterly to report on program progress.

All resources acquired with TEA funding are subject to District purchasing and inventorying procedures, as outlined in the subsection entitled "Resource Management." AISD's internal grant management office monitors all grant expenditures. All District grant budgets, including the TTIPS grant budgets, are supervised by a Grant Compliance Officer in the Office of State and Federal Accountability, who has the final responsibility for funds and ensures that the grant is managed according to financial management and programmatic guidelines.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Sustainability. What elements of your proposed project are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends? How will the LEA provide continued funding and support to sustain the reform after the grant period ends? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

AISD is committed to ensuring all funding and resources provided through the Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant program within the District translate into continued high-quality programs and professional development to support the success of schools and students within AISD. The District has currently allocated resources to support struggling schools in Austin. In addition to funds available under the TTIPS program for the 2016-20 school years and the campus Title I allocations, the District will collaborate with community partners to ensure long-term, sustainable transformation occurs at Dobie Middle School.

The Office of Innovation and Development will be tasked to cultivate resources and develop partnerships and funding proposals in collaboration with representatives from diverse groups of stakeholders, including parents, teachers, administrators, central office staff, and community members to see additional outside funding.

Started in 2010, the Office of Innovation & Development (OID) was established to support the District and individual campuses in their fundraising efforts and to link community-based service providers and corporations within AISD campuses. OID's mission is to promote integrated partnerships and develop high-quality resources to support students. OID actively search and applies for Federal, state, and local funding to support key District initiatives and campus goals. Services such as grant writing assistance, grant research and donor recognition are provided in support of District initiatives and campus-lead fundraising campaigns.

OID is also home to the Youth Services Mapping (YSM) System—a network of more than 90 nonprofit organizations that provide services to students on AISD campuses. From afterschool programs to summer enrichment, the YSM network is committed to improving the educational success of all Austin students.

ForTEA	ŲŠĒ Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #15—Project Evaluation

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901 Part 1: Establishing Performance Measures. Describe the processes used to establish challenging yet attainable

Amendment # (for amendments only):

performance measures that will result in substantially improved student achievement and the campus' ability to exit lowest-performing status. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. During the Planning Phase of the grant in the spring of 2016, the cross-functional team, teachers, parents, and other stakeholders will review the Transformation model and the performance measures set to support the campus's ability to exit their low performing status. Data reviewed to establish performance measures will include: performance on state assessments: attendance: TELPAS results: discipline: Primary Reading Assessment results; parent/teacher Surveys; accountability ratings (including safeguards missed); Teaching, Empowering, Leading and Learning (TELL) results; review of TAPR data; student climate survey; and special education indicator reports (C-IEP). The campus will also review the Professional Pathways for Teaching (PPfT) requirements and clarify specific expectations in areas related to student performance.

Part 2: Data Collection, Describe the processes for collecting data at a detailed level to inform effectiveness of each intervention. Data at a detailed level would include examples such as: participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, or academic outcome data at the activity-level per student. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The Department of Research Evaluation (DRE) and State & Federal Accountability (SFA) staff will regularly participate in program planning and support meetings to provide data for program decision-making and progress monitoring. As the program is implemented, DRE & SFA and Transformation Model staff/participants will document and report the stages of implementation to ensure program fidelity and to make ongoing improvement decisions. DRE staff will assist program staff in the use of district information systems to provide "real time" access to student data (e.g., AIMS, campus dashboard reports, etc.) and to encourage ongoing monitoring of student progress. Six week reports will be provided the campus and support teams assist with decision making and to allow for DRE staff also may share program information with other district decision-making groups interested in similar goals and objectives to facilitate the continuing development of a system-wide student support network. All of these efforts will provide program staff with a comprehensive understanding of their own program efforts and enable them to work efficiently and effectively with others in their school and across the district.

For TEA!	Use Only '
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Tavac	Edi	ication	Access
exas	Ear	ication.	Agency

Standard Application System (SAS)

Schedule #15—Project Evaluation (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Assessing effectiveness of interventions. Describe the processes and staff responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. How are problems with project delivery to be identified and corrected throughout the project?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

The TTIPS Project Director, working collaboratively with the Dobie Middle School Principal, is responsible for organizing and effectively managing the ongoing continuous improvement process for the Transformation initiative at Dobie Middle School. The TTIPS Project Director convenes TTIPS teams regularly and reports program updates to the Chief Officer of Teaching and Learning and the AISD Superintendent quarterly. The Project Director is the point of contact for all external service providers for the TTIPS Transformation project.

The Department of Research and Evaluation (DRE) and additional State & Federal Accountability (SFA) staff will regularly participate in program planning and support meetings of the TTIPS cross-functional teams to provide data for program decision-making and progress monitoring. As the program is implemented, DRE, State & Federal, and ELI project staff and participants will document and report the stages of implementation to ensure the TTIPS Transformation program is implemented to fidelity and to make ongoing improvement decisions.

DRE staff will assist program staff in the use of district information systems to provide "real time" access to student data (e.g., AIMS, campus dashboard reports, etc.) and to encourage ongoing monitoring of student progress. Nine-week reports will be provided the campus, and support teams assist with decision-making.

DRE staff also may share program information with other district decision-making groups interested in similar goals and objectives to facilitate the continuing development of a system-wide student support network. All of these efforts will provide program staff with a comprehensive understanding of their own program efforts and enable them to work efficiently and effectively with others in their school and across the District.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Describe your rigorous review process used to select highest-quality and best-fit external providers for your project. Include processes to:

- Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers
- Assess level of experience in delivering the work
- Determine a history of prior success; consistent strong results in similar projects
- · Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting
- Execute final selection and procurement

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

AISD has adopted procurement policies and procedures consistent with the new Uniform Guidance for grants management and EDGAR. These fiscal compliance guidelines establish funding tiers and expectations for competitive procurement of purchases for all entities receiving Federal grant funding, either as a direct award of via a pass-through entity. The District is currently reviewing the expectations regarding micro-purchases established within the Uniform Guidance to determine whether this new flexibility in procurement meets the internal control specifications of AISD. Under our current policies and procedures, purchases under \$10,000 require at least one (1) written quote that should be acquired by the end user. Price quotes can be obtained via FAX, e-mail, or from catalogs, and must be entered into the AISD enterprise software accounting system as a purchase requisition. Purchase cards, often referred to as P-cards, are American Express credit cards assigned to specific individuals at a campus or within a department having a maximum charge amount of \$2500 per day. The total charges for any one P-Card, regardless of number of purchase made, may not exceed \$2500. Purchases between \$10,000 and \$49,999 require at least three (3) written quotes, obtained by either end user or Contracts and Procurement. These purchases must be purchase requisitions (PR) which will result in a purchase order (PO) being generated. The written guotes should be forwarded via email or fax to a Procurement Specialist to accompany the Purchase Requisition (PR). Quotes and other related documentation is stored in the District's electronic document storage utility. Purchases of \$50,000 and greater require a formal solicitation (ITB, RFP, RFQ), to be created and executed by the Contracts and Procurement Department. This generally takes a minimum of 6 weeks. Any purchase of this size requires a purchase requisition (PR) which will result in a purchase order (PO) being generated. And, any purchase of this size requires Board approval. Austin ISD Contracts and Procurement Department does not keep nor maintain an approved supplier/vendor list. If a potential supplier would like to be considered for their goods or services, then that supplier simply needs to monitor the Austin ISD Contracts and Procurement website and respond to the appropriate Bid Solicitation, RFP, RFQ, RFI, etc. posted. Competitive bidding for goods over \$50,000 is the process of inviting and obtaining bids from competing sources in response to advertised competitive specifications, by which an award is made to the lowest and best bidder meeting the specifications. AISD uses a number of different media formats to identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective provides, thus ensuring competitive bids and the selection of high-quality goods and services. The competitive bid process contemplates giving potential bidders a reasonable opportunity to bid, and requires that all bidders be placed on the same plane of equality. Each bidder must bid on the same advertised specifications, terms, and conditions in all the items and parts of a contract. The purpose of competitive bidding is to stimulate competition, prevent favoritism, and secure the best goods and services at the lowest practicable price, for the benefit of the agency. Competitive bidding cannot occur where contract specifications, terms, or conditions prevent or unduly restrict competition, favor a particular supplier, or increase the cost of goods or services without providing a corresponding benefit to the agency. Generally, for goods over \$50,000, Contracts and Procurement creates an IFB or Invitation for Bid. IFBs are competitive sealed bids generally used for goods, not services. This method is used when the requirements are clearly defined, negotiations are not necessary and price is the major determining factor for selection. The Invitation for Bid includes all the documents used to solicit competitive sealed bids, which are opened publically on a date specified in the IFB by Contracts and Procurement. Formal Solicitations are used for services over \$50,000. Formal solicitations are initiated by the end user, and they are created and managed by Contracts and Procurement. The entire process from initiation of the solicitation to a Board Award can take anywhere from six weeks to several months. The solicitation number should be referenced on the Purchase Requisition. Formal solicitations can be: (A) RFPs—Requests for Proposal; (B) RFQs—Requests for Qualifications; and (C) RFIs—Requests for Information. An evaluation committee reviews/evaluates RFP's and RFQ's based on pre-determined evaluation criteria to determine "best value." The committee is usually made up of end users and a procurement specialist. Solicitations are accepted unconditionally without alteration or correction. The ITB/RFP always states the evaluation criteria to be used. No criteria may be used in evaluation that is not set forth in ITB/RFP. The process of final vendor selection for goods and services includes assessing vendor level of experience; determining a history of prior success; and, assessing any potential risks related to executing a contract with a specific vendor.

FORTEA	Uše Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: External Provider Oversight. Describe your rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. Include in the description:

- Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance
- Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers
- Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers
- Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance
- Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

AISD's Office of Campus and District Accountability oversees the selection, placement, and monitoring of technical Professional Service Providers (PSPs) specifically required for AISD TTIPS campuses and/or schools in Improvement, above the ongoing assessment of whether an external good or service provider is fulfilling the duties and/or responsibilities of a contract with the District conducted by the Procurement Office. All District contracts are created for a term of one year with the option to renew, if services are evaluated as being successful and a benefit to the District. If the vendor has not provided a successful level of service to the District. AISD will not renew the contract.

The TTIPS Project Director regularly convenes meetings of TTIPS teams, campus staff and any PSPs specifically identified for the TTIPS funded programs. Quarterly meetings with the Professional Service Provider will be scheduled to review services being provided to the campus. The principal, District Pre-kindergarten Director and district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers will review and discuss any changes needed. PSP contracts are for a term of 12 months. AISD negotiates an hourly rate with PSPs that is in line with TEA standards and determines the number of required contact hours expected of the PSP. These expectations and the expected work products of the PSPs are negotiated and finalized by the PSP, AISD District staff and campus administrators, and included as the contract's statement of work. After the PSP's service contract has been fully executed and all contracting and procurement policies and procedures have been followed, the provider may deliver services.

The process and instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers within AISD were defined within the "Professional Service Provider Selection and Assignment Guidance Workbook for Schools in Improvement, 2014-2015" and the "PSP Network Handbook: Policy and Procedures for Professional Service Providers." Data used as part of PSP monitoring includes, but is not limited to, observation data of vendor performance, student performance, discipline reports, etc.

Should issues related to PSP performance and/or PSP-campus scheduling and efficacy emerge, the Office of District and Campus Accountability will follow those guidelines established in contract and procurement policies and procedures to notify and resolve disputes. Given the nature of the work completed by the PSP, the Office of Teaching and Learning representatives will participate in any service disputes between the campus and the PSP. Should these efforts to resolve what are essentially contract and deliverable disputes fail, AISD will follow the procedure outlined in the "Professional Service Provider Selection and Assignment Guidance Workbook for Schools in Improvement. 2014-2015." Should a revised version of this document identify different steps for resolving disputes and/or replacing a PSP, the District is committed to following the most current protocol.

∵	ir TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Texas Education Agency

Standard Application System (SAS)

County-district number or vendor ID: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 4: Coordinated and Integrated Efforts. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

AISD campuses, the Finance Office, and the Office of State & Federal Accountability collaborate as part of the annual AISD budgeting process to ensure coordinated, most effective use of grant funding to maximize student outcomes. The budgeting process starts each fall when the Board adopts a set of proposed budget parameters. These serve as a conceptual framework for targeting resources to achieve District priorities. Following adoption of budget parameters, Trustees review and approve an annual budgeting calendar. These milestones are available for review by internal and external stakeholders at http://www.austinisd.org/budget. All campus-level budgets are developed as part of this process. The Finance Office collaborates with principals each year to develop and review individual campus budgets, and campuses receiving Federal grant funds plan how they will use Federal funding to meet their student achievement goals during the annual budgeting process.

Effectively using Federal grant money requires planning for purchases. The Campus Needs Assessment (CNA), Improvement Plan (CIP), and Explanation of Expenditures (EoE) are planning tools. State & Federal participates in annual school administrator budget planning workshops in late winter each year and in CIP trainings for campus level administrators in early summer. Campus administrators are required to attend a budget workshop in late winter; CIP training is structured as an open house. Campuses receiving Federal formula grants prepare and submit an EoE each spring. The EoE shows how schools are using Federal grant money to meet program and project goals identified in the CIP. It is finalized and attached to the CIP.

A preliminary EoE is provided to State & Federal each spring for review. State & Federal is responsible for reviewing the EoE to confirm expenses are necessary, reasonable, allocable and allowable based on a Federal program's regulations. State & Federal compliance staff regularly meets with schools receiving any state or Federal funding to review budgets and provide guidance on effective use of funding.

AISD's Board of Trustees adopted a competitive grants process. It is different from the annual budgeting and planning process for Federal formula funding: competitive Federal grant applications are submitted throughout the year to meet published deadlines of an awarding agency by the Office of Innovation and Development. If a Federal competitive grant includes multi-year funding, the anticipated budget for future grant years is integrated into the annual budgeting process. This is to ensure effective use of all education resources to maximize student achievement.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

For TEAT	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16

Page 42 of 68

Standard Application System (SAS)

County-district number or vendor ID:

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 7: Evaluation Systems for Teachers and Principals, accounting for student growth Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must use a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system that takes into account student growth as a significant factor. Please review the description of requirements of the evaluation systems under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the data sources for student growth accounted for in the teacher and principal evaluation system. Include how student growth is weighted in evaluation: AISD's Professional Pathways for Teachers (PPfT) is a collaboration between AISD, Education Austin, and the American Federation of Teachers to design a teacher appraisal system meeting the requirements of the new State of Texas teacher evaluation system for 2015-2016. Data sources include student academic achievement assessment scores, observation of instructional practices occurring throughout the year, teacher and principal defined student learning objectives, assessment of professional growth and responsibilities, and finally, an assessment of school-wide value add for staff contributions.

Describe how the evaluation system design includes multiple observation-based assessments and ongoing collections of professional practice: The PPfT process begins with teachers completing an Individualized Learning Plan based on their areas of strength and challenges according to the PPfT Instructional Practice Rubric. Teachers participate in two announced, 30-minute (minimum) observations during the school year, one fall and one spring, each conducted by a different appraiser. Additionally, teachers receive at least three classroom visits throughout the year (one fall, one spring, and on at appraiser's discretion). During each visit, teachers receive written feedback based on the appraisal rubric covering seven strands of observable teaching behaviors. Throughout school year, teachers meet with appraisers to reflect on their teaching practice and track their progress toward professional learning goals. At end of year, teachers participate in a summative conference near the end of the spring semester. The focus of the summative conference is on instructional practice and the impact the teacher has had on students. The summative conference can be waived by the teacher, not the appraiser, as long as the appraiser agrees.

Describe how the evaluation system was developed with teacher and principal involvement:

The PPfT was developed and is led by a collaborative leadership group that reviewed recommendations from teachers, principals, and administrators. The working groups of teachers and Principals refined the PPfT after it was piloted in 20 schools. During the planning/pre-implementation year of the TTIPS Transformation initiative at Dobie Middle School, teachers and administrators, along with district support staff will review and clarify the components and expectations regarding the PPfT evaluation system to be used and the impact it will have on the expectations related to student performance.

For 1	TEA Use Only	A Property of the Control
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

County-district nu	imber or	vendor ID	: 227901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 8: Educator Reward and Removal

Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must have protocols to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who have increased student achievement; and identify/remove those who have not improved their professional practice. Please review the description of requirements for educator reward and removal under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the rewards available for educators who have increased student achievement in implementing the model:

During the pre-implementation stage, the campus/staff and district leadership will design the strategies/goals related to financial incentives for school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing the TEA Transformation Model, have increased student achievement.

Describe protocols/interventions to support teachers who are struggling to improve professional practice:

The PPfT instrument for appraising teachers and identifying areas of improvement has protocols for supporting underperforming staff. During pre-implementation, teachers and administrators will design protocols and interventions for identifying staff who would need additional support and the opportunities to improve instructional practice, thus student academic performance outcomes, prior to replacing/remove unsuccessful staff members.

Describe the criteria established for educator removal:

The scoring criteria guide for the PPfT identifies below expectation (student participation is sporadic, random, seldom) and unsatisfactory (infrequent, nonexistent) related to student participation in the learning process as reasons for removal.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

ForTEA	Use Only	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

. For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

and range and the company of the com		
Schedule #16	Resnonses to Statuto	ry Requirements (cont.)
Collegate	tooponoo to otatato	

County-dis	trict number	or vendor	ID: 2	27901

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 11: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued)

Applicants proposing a **TEXAS STATE-DESIGN** model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an **Early College High School** (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the processes the LEA/campus will take to build the number of college courses available to students to gain during high school to a minimum of six (6) by the start of the 2016-2017 to sixty (60) by the start of 2017-2018 school year:

N/A

For	TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	24.5 - 2.5 - 2.5

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SA
Sc	hedule #16—Responses	to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	lor ID: 227901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a TEXAS implemented for all students in <i>High School</i> (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A"	S STATE-DESIGN model in the school, which is considered to the description of the tothe prompts in the table.	rge school-wide strategy (continued) must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, istent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College ne Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and le below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model se Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
		o vinariona, no amano, aran no pomi.
Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:	N/A	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
Scl	nedule #16Responses t	o Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	or ID: 227901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 14: So Applicants proposing a TURNA environment. In screening all e review the description of require Schedule #2 Provisions and As These applicants shall respond indicate below with "N/A".	creening and Selecting Standard model must meas existing staff, no more than ements for educator screen surances. to the prompts in the table	saff sure the effectiveness of staff to work in the turnaround 50% may be rehired to work in the turnaround model. Please hing and selecting staff under the turnaround model in below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall a Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
Describe process for screening all staff that existed prior to implementation of the turnaround model, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A	
Indicate the number of existing staff rehired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A	
Describe process for selecting new staff, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A	
Indicate the number of new staff hired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A	
Indicate the start date for the new turnaround implementation staff; including rehires and new hires:	N/A	
Changes on this page have been co		· Use Only ☐ On this date:
/ia telephone/fax/emall (circle as ap	propriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to	Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 227901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 15: New Governance Structure/Tapplicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must adopt a eport to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a to enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added fleine description of requirements for new governance structurals assurances. These applicants shall describe the new governance structuraround model shall indicate below with "N/A".	a new campus governance structure in which the school murnaround leader who reports to LEA executive leadership xibility in exchange for greater accountability. Please review under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions are planned in the space below. Applicants not proposing
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use A	Anarion, no sinaire: trair to point.
· N/A	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS
	nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	
Applicants proposing the WHO with a whole-school reform model in Schedule #2 I These applicants shall respond model shall indicate below with Response is limited to space property with the space of the shall indicate below with the space of the shall indicate below with the space of the shall indicate below with the space of the shall indicate the space of the shall indicate the shall indic	to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Whole-School Reform
Name the model developer with whom you will partner to implement the whole-school reform:	N/A
Describe the record of success the model developer has shown in implementing whole-school reform strategies:	N/A
Name and describe the study/studies examined that support the efficacy of the model selected. Include information about the study's sample size and multi-site sampling. Include key findings showing impact on student achievement. Additionally, provide citations for the study publications:	N/A

Fc	or TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to S	tatutory Requirements (cont.)
ounty-district number or vendor ID: 227901	Amendment # (for amendments only):
tatutory Requirement 17: Operations under a Charter So pplicants proposing a RESTART model must convert or reo anagement organization (CMO), or education management elect a provider who will restart the organization. Please revi odel in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. the space below, these applicants shall describe the rigoro iteria used for selection; timeline for provider selection; and oplicants not proposing a Restart model shall indicate below	pen the school under a charter school operator, charte organization (EMO); using a rigorous review process to the description of requirements under the Restart ous process to be used to select the restart organization anticipated date for school reopening/conversion.
esponse is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Ar	rial font, no smaller than 10 point.
/A	

For TEA	Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/ernail (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Out will the District	
Schedule #16—Responses to Sta	
County-district number or vendor ID: 227901 Statutory Requirement 18: Enrollment in higher achieving s	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a CLOSURE model must enroll students reasonable proximity to the closed school.	who attended the school a higher achieving school within
These applicants shall describe the processes, key activities, a ransition students to higher achleving school in the space belowed the control of the space belowed. The control of the	nd timeline they will undertake within one year in order to w. Applicants not proposing a Closure model shall
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Aria	al font, no smaller than 10 point.
N/A	

For TE	A Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Feve	Education	Δαρρον
LEXUS	Education	Agency

1 EX	as Education Ag			application Sy	/stem (SAS
			es to TEA Program Requirements		
TE Cri pro invo Aca qua use stu List inst	A Program Rectical Success Fargram, under whe estment in these ademic Performality, effective less of learning time dents. It the most important important programs	actors are the key research-based for nich school improvement initiatives she focus areas is most impactful to ach ance is the foundational Critical Succe adership, data-driven instructional de e, and maintaining a positive school of trant areas in which the campus will a am through this grant.	Amendment # (for an Model Requirements and Timeline cus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements be planned. Research provides evidentieve continuous school improvement. Sees Factor. Through gains in Critical Successions, productive community and parent climate, campuses can increase academic achieve increased academic performance. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	rements of th ce that effort cess Factors t involvement c performance	is and of teacher t, efficient e for all
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Academic Performance/Impro	ve the Instructional Program		
	T	Planned Interven	tion		od for entation
1.	Design the str	ategies to improve teacher quality an	nd effective leadership	⊠ Year 1 □ Year 2 □ Year 3	Year 4
2.	Data driven instructional decisions will be implemented during the pre-implementation phase through the development of the Transformational Model and training/professional development provided to assist staff in using data to drive instruction		⊠ Year 1 □ Year 2 □ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5	
3.	increase prodi	uctive community and parental involv	rement	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5
4.	Increase learn	ing time through extended day and y	ear programs	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
5.				☐ Year 1☐ Year 2☐ Year 3	☐ Year4
6.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5
7.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year4
		. For T	EA Use Only		
Chan	ges on this page	have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via te	telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:				

Page 55 of 68

lex	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS						
	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)						
			ndment # (for amen	dments o	only):		
Cri pro inv Lis inte and Ad	TEA Program Requirement 2: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment. Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.						
	itical Success Factor:	Increase Teacher Quality					
	7	Planned Intervention			od for entation		
1.	Recruit and re	etain effective teachers		Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
2.	Increase job-e	embedded professional development		Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
3.	Provide oppor	tunities for teacher career growth and reward teacher effectiver	ness 🛮	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
4.	Provide teache	ers time to joint plan across grade levels		Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
5.	Provide trainin men of color	g and support to teachers in regard to improving achievement	among young	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4		
6.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
7.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
		For TEA Use Only					
Char	nges on this page	have been confirmed with: On this date:	•				
Via te	elephone/fax/ema	il (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:					

Texa	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS					
	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)					
		nber or vendor ID: 227901	Amendment # (for arr			
Cripro inve List inte and Add	TEA Program Requirement 3: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment. Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.					
	tical Success Factor:	Increase Leadership Effectiver				
		Planned Intervent	ion	Period for Implementation		
1.		ipal as part of the requirement under t pre-implementation phase	the Transformational Model prior to the			
2.	Hire new principal to assist in the development of the Transformational Model and work with district support team to develop and implement strategies for student success			Year 1 ☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 5 ☐ Year 3		
3.	Provide on go Transformation		leadership in the implementation of the	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5 ☐ Year 3		
4.	Monitor Leadership Effectiveness and provide recommendations for change if necessary			☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 4 ☑ Year 2 ☐ Year 5 ☐ Year 3		
5.	Reward Leadership effectiveness when results in student growth occur			☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 5 ☑ Year 3		
6.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 5 ☐ Year 3		
7.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 5 ☐ Year 3		
Char	nges on this sage	For TE have been confirmed with:	A Use Only On this date:			
Via te	elephone/fax/ema	il (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SA					ystem (SAS		
Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)							
Co	County-district number or vendor ID: 227901 Amendment # (for amendments only):						
Cri pro inv Lis inte and Add Re	tical Success Fa ogram, under wh estment in these t and briefly des erventions select d Root Causes in ditionally, indica	quirement 4: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timelinators are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory ich school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides a focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvements in the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Succested fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and dentified through your needs assessment. It to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 points.	requirer evidence ent. ess Factod support	ments of the that efforence.	t and that		
	Factor:	Increase Use of Quality Data to Inform Instruction	T	Dori	od for		
		Planned Intervention			entation		
1.		sed in the Needs Assessment and align the results with data gathered do to develop strategies for changes needed in the 16-17 school year	luring	⊠ Year 1 □ Year 2 □ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
2.		iunities for teachers and adminstrators to share and review data gathere to drive instructional decisions	ed	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
3.	Provide oppor	tunities for teachers to share student data/progress with students and pa	rents	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	Year 4		
4.] [☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
5.			[☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
6.			[Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
7.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4		
		For TEA Use Only	Action Deposits				
Char	nges on this page	have been confirmed with: On this date:					
Via te	elephone/fax/ema	il (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:					

***********	as Education Ag			Application S	ystem (SA
		Schedule #17—Responses to T	ΓΕΑ Program Requirements (cont.)		
		nber or vendor ID: 227901	Amendment # (for a		only):
Critical properties of the control o	tical Success Fa gram, under wh estment in these and briefly des erventions selec ditionally, indica	uirement 5: Interventions to meet Monactors are the key research-based focus ich school improvement initiatives shall a focus areas is most impactful to achieve cribe the interventions selected for impleted fulfill all statutory requirements listed dentified through your needs assessment to the period during the grant cycle in will to space provided, front side only. Use	s areas, aligned with the statutory requise planned. Research provides evider we continuous school improvement. ementation for this Critical Success Fad in the program assurances, and support. high the activities will be implemented.	irements of the control of the contr	t and that
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Increase Learning Time			
40.Trans.		Planned Interventio	n		od for entation
1.	Provide extend	ded day and year services as a strategy	for improving student performance	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
2.	Provide addition	onal opportunities for teacher developme	ent and support	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year ²
3.	Provide educa	tional opportunities/experiences for the	students outside of the classroom	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
4.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
5.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
6.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
7.	enervin en en et en			☐ Year 1☐ Year 2☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4

For TEA Use Only

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

On this date:

By TEA staff person:

Texa	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS						
	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)						
	County-district number or vendor ID: 227901 Amendment # (for amendments only):						
TE. Crit pro inve List inte and Add	TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment. Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.						
	tical Success Factor:	Increase Parent/Community E					
		Planned Interven	ntion	1	d for entation		
1.		Support Specialist to assist the camp d in their child's learning	pus in increasing parental participation at	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year4 ☐ Year5		
2.		opportunity for parents and communit mittee or ad hoc committee related to	ty members to participate on the Campus o improving school performance	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year4 ☐ Year5		
3.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year4 ☐ Year5		
4.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4		
5.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4		
6.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4		
7.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
		P#					
Char	gos on this sees	For T have been confirmed with:	EA Use Only On this date:	And Power Speed			
	<u> </u>						
Via te	elephone/fax/ema	il (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:				

Texa	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)					
	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)					
		nber or vendor ID: 227901 Amendment # (for a		only):		
Crit pro inve List inte and Add	TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment. Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.					
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Improve School Climate				
	,	Planned Intervention		od for entation		
1.		district's mplementation of social emotional learning strategies for developing neern for others and effectively and ethically handling emotions and at work	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year4 ☐ Year5		
2.	system of pos harassment	proaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a itive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5		
3.		port provided in the Youth Services Mapping (YSM) System—a network of nonprofit organizations that provide services to students on AISD campuses	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	∏ Year4 ∏ Year5		
4.			☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year4 ☐ Year5		
5.			☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4		
6.			☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year4 ☐ Year5		
7.			☐ Year 1☐ Year 2☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4		
		For TEA Use Only				
Chan	ges on this page	have been confirmed with: On this date:				
Via te	fia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:					

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS							
***************************************	Schedule #18—Equitable Access ar	nd Participa	<u>ition</u>				
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901 Amendment number (for amendments only):							
No Ba	No Barriers						
#	No Barriers		Students	Teachers	Others		
000	The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access participation for any groups	and					
Barrie	r: Gender-Specific Bias						
#	Strategies for Gender-Specific Bias		Students	Teachers	Others		
A01	Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to participate	o fully					
A02	Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias			\boxtimes			
A03	Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not prongender bias	note					
A04	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination of the basis of gender	on and the					
A05	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Educ Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the bas gender	is of					
A06	Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights ar responsibilities with regard to participation in the program	nd					
A99	Other (specify)						
Barrier: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity							
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diver	sity	Students	Teachers	Others		
B01	Provide program information/materials in home language	**************************************					
B02	Provide interpreter/translator at program activities						
B03	Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic d through a variety of activities, publications, etc.	liversity					
B04	Communicate to students, teachers, and other program benefic appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural bac		nickambeldi metod dibinista kendra di manadidi biriketi beberaba				
B05	Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in progra	3M					
B06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for di populations	verse		\boxtimes			
B07	Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic dand communicates an appreciation for diversity	ifferences					
B08	Seek technical assistance from education service center, techni assistance center, Title I, Part A school support team, or other p	,					
В09	Provide parenting training						
B10	Provide a parent/family center	·····					
B11	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision makin	g					
	For TEA Use Only						
	. or , are ode officy						

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

On this date:

By TEA staff person:

Texas E	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)					
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access	and Participation	<u>n</u> (cont.)			
Count	y-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901	Amendment	number (for a	amendments	only):	
Barrie	er: Gang-Related Activities (cont.)					
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activities		Students	Teachers	Others	
C08	Provide community service programs/activities					
C09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				П	
C10	Strengthen school/parent compacts					
C11	Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencies					
C12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/progr	ams				
C13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or higher education					
C14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and with gang-related issues	parents to deal				
C99	Other (specify)					
Barrie	r: Drug-Related Activities					
#	Strategies for Drug-Related Activities		Students	Teachers	Others	
D01	Provide early identification/intervention					
D02	Provide counseling					
D03	Conduct home visits by staff					
D04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools communities	and			П	
D05	Provide mentor program					
D06	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cult programs/activities	ural, or artistic				
D07	Provide community service programs/activities	a plane all families at the same in the Corps of the Corp				
D08	Provide comprehensive health education programs	HARDON POR PORTO DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA				
D09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences					
D10	Establish school/parent compacts					
D11	Develop/maintain community partnerships					
D12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/progra	ams				
D13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or higher education	nstitutions of				
D14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and with drug-related issues	parents to deal				
D99	Other (specify)					
Barrier: Visual Impairments						
#	Strategies for Visual Impairments		Students	Teachers	Others	
E01	Provide early identification and intervention					
E02	Provide program materials/information in Braille					
For TEA Use Only						
Changes on this page have been confirmed with: On this date:						
/ia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:						

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-15-107: SAS #191-16

Page 65 of 68

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)							
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participatio	<u>n</u> (cont.)					
County	y-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901 Amendment	number (for a	amendments	only):			
Barrier: Inaccessible Physical Structures							
#	Strategies for Inaccessible Physical Structures	Students	Teachers	Others			
J01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by students with other physical disabilities/constraints						
J02	Ensure all physical structures are accessible						
J99	Other (specify)						
Barrie	r: Absenteeism/Truancy						
#	Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy	Students	Teachers	Others			
K01	Provide early identification/intervention						
K02	Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan						
K03	Conduct home visits by staff						
K04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance						
K05	Provide mentor program						
K06	Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities						
K07	Conduct parent/teacher conferences						
K08	Strengthen school/parent compacts						
K09	Develop/maintain community partnerships						
K10	Coordinate with health and social services agencies						
K11	Coordinate with the juvenile justice system						
K12	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions of higher education						
K99	Other (specify)						
Barrie	r: High Mobility Rates						
#	Strategies for High Mobility Rates	Students	Teachers	Others			
L01	Coordinate with social services agencies						
L02	Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families						
L03	Establish/maintain timely record transfer system						
L99	Other (specify)						
Barrier	: Lack of Support from Parents						
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents	Students	Teachers	Others			
M01	Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents						
M02	Conduct home visits by staff						

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS							
Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.)							
		endment r	number (for	amendments	only):		
Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents (cont.)							
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents		Students	Teachers	Others		
M03	Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities						
M04	Conduct parent/teacher conferences						
M05	Establish school/parent compacts						
M06	Provide parenting training						
M07	Provide a parent/family center						
M08	Provide program materials/information in home language						
M09	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision m	- i					
M10	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learni activities and other activities that don't require coming to school	ing					
M11	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities						
M12	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, a knowledge in school activities						
M13	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or fan literacy program						
M14	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parer	nts					
M15	Facilitate school health advisory councils four times a year						
M99	Other (specify)						
Barrie	r: Shortage of Qualified Personnel						
#	Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel		Students	Teachers	Others		
N01	Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified persor	nnel					
N02	Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and lang minority groups	guage	· 🔲				
N03	Provide mentor program for new teachers						
N04	Provide intern program for new teachers						
N05	Provide an induction program for new personnel						
N06	Provide professional development in a variety of formats for person	nnel					
N07	Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation prog	grams					
N99	Other (specify)						
Barrie	:: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits		ikaalineeliseliseliseliseliseliseliseliseliseli				
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Bene	fits	Students	Teachers	Others		
P01	Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program activities and benefits	4					
P02	Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of act and benefits	tivities					

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

	Schedule #18—Equitable Access a	nd Participatio	<u>n</u> (cont.)				
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 227901 Amendment number (for amendments only):							
Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits (cont.)							
#			Students	Teachers	Others		
P03	Provide announcements to local radio stations and newspapers about program activities/benefits						
P99	P99 Other (specify)						
Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities							
#	3-2-3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		Students	Teachers	Others		
Q01	Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficativities						
Q02	activities and other activities that don't require coming to school			To a second			
Q03	Conduct program activities in community centers and other locations	neighborhood					
Q99	Other (specify)						
Barrier: Other Barriers							
#	Strategies for Other Barriers	######################################	Students	Teachers	Others		
Z99	Other barrier Other strategy						
**************************************	Other barrier						
Z99	Other strategy	**************************************					
Z99	Other barrier				radioliticismatricos inclusos anno assaulus assaulus assaulus assaulus assaulus assaulus assaulus assaulus ass		
	Other strategy	**************************************					
Z99	Other barrier						
299	Other strategy	МООННЯ об дополня на бало на бола о о на					
Z99	Other barrier						
	Other strategy		L.,				
Z99	Other parrier				FEATAVANA		
	Other strategy Other barrier		The same of the sa				
Z99 Z99	Other strategy				THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		
	Other barrier				Saffio Similar in construction and const		
	Other strategy						
700	Other barrier				CM/700600CA COMMISSION OF THE PARTY WAS A SAME AND A SA		
Z99	Other strategy						
Z99	Other barrier	***************************************			######################################		
233	Other strategy						
For TEA Use Only							
Changes on this page have been confirmed with: On this date:							
/ia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:							

Texas Education Agency

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4 Standard Application System (SAS)